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Abstract
Powerful simulation tools are required for every accelerator and light source to study the motion of charged particles through electromagnetic fields during the accelerator design process, to optimize the performance of machine diagnostics and to assess beam stability and non-linear effects. The Optimization of Particle Accelerators (oPAC) Project is funded by the EU within the 7th Framework Programme and currently supports 23 Fellows that are based at institutions across Europe. This large network carries out R&D that closely links beam physics studies with the development of diagnostics and beyond state-of-the-art simulation tools. This contribution presents selected research outcomes from oPAC, including the numerical optimization of beam loss monitor locations along the European Spallation Source’s 5 MW proton linac, results from tracking studies for the LHeC lattice that allow beam stability to be assessed, and multi-objective optimization of the linear and non-linear beam dynamics of the synchrotron SOLEIL. In addition, an overview of recent and future oPAC events is given.

INTRODUCTION

oPAC – Optimization of Particle Accelerators – is a Training Network funded by the European Union [1]. With a budget of almost €6M shared between 12 beneficiary partners over a 4-year period, it brings together 34 institutions across the industry and academia to provide formation on particle accelerators to a total of 23 early stage researchers. The main objective of the oPAC network is to train the next generation of accelerator scientists and engineers for the increasingly demanding community of accelerator facilities while strengthening the bonds within this community. oPAC is also strongly engaged in raising public awareness on the importance of particle accelerators for society, through their applications in health, industry, security, energy, and fundamental knowledge.

Each of the Fellows is developing a project set in one of the following topics: research of beam dynamics, development of beam diagnostics, numerical simulation tools, and accelerator control and data acquisition systems. In addition to the research in their home institution, the Fellows undertake secondments in any of the other partner institutions, complementing their formation and fostering collaborative research. Moreover, the Fellows receive regularly specific training on complementary skills and techniques through a series of topical workshops and schools that are held at different venues across the network. The Fellows together with the central management team are also carrying out an intensive program of outreach and dissemination, through the internet and social media, but also through the organization of events and participation in conferences, trade fairs, exhibitions, etc.

RESEARCH

As outlined before research within the network is carried out across four thematic work packages. The following subsections highlight progress made by three exemplar Fellows across these work packages.

Beam Loss Monitoring at ESS

The linear accelerator of ESS will produce a 5 MW proton beam. Beam of this power must be strictly monitored by a specialized Beam Loss Monitoring (BLM) system to detect any abnormal losses and to ensure that operational losses do not exceed a limit of 1 W/m. In order to optimize the detectors layout in terms of their numbers and locations a series of beam loss simulations was performed using the MARS Monte Carlo code. Different loss scenarios were considered and yielded an indication of the energy deposition along the ESS linac.

Figure 1: Loss point locations.

Different beam loss simulations were performed for four different energies in the ESS cold linac, ranging from 220-2,000 MeV [2]. For all of these energies 10 different possible locations along a cryomodule-quadrupole doublet were chosen, see Fig.1. At these locations three points on the beam pipe were considered as possible loss points. Losses were then simulated for 3 different angles: 1 mrad, 3 mrad and 1°. The power deposited in air around a loss was used as primary indicator for suitable BLM locations as it is proportional to the BLM signal within certain limits. For more accurate results, an approach using particle flux-to-generated charge converters shall be applied in the future. BLMs were placed around the cryomodule-quad assemblies in a way that optimizes three figures of merit: Distinguishability of individual losses, volume coverage and sensitivity. The aim is to avoid missing any loss (full volume coverage), ability to differentiate between individual losses, and detecting even smallest losses which are just above the noise level.
This was done by a custom-written MATLAB algorithm utilizing a matrix representation of the loss cases and detectors that are being considered. This algorithm was then compared to a brute force method which simply evaluates the root mean squares for pairs of detectors, see [2] for further details.

**Tracking Studies in the LHeC Lattice**

An interaction region design for the LHeC was proposed in the Conceptual Design Report [3]. The aim of this design was to achieve head-on electron-proton collisions in the interaction region 2 (IR2) at a luminosity of $L=10.33\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ which requires a low β* to study the reach in luminosity, and in terms of increasing $L^*$ to reduce the synchrotron radiation. This work explores the different types of chromatic corrections for the nominal case with $\beta^*=10$ cm and $L^*=10$ m by studying its impact on the stability of the beam via the dynamic aperture and the effect of non-linearities via frequency map analysis. Three different chromatic corrections were studied. The first one, named “LHC-like” performs the chromatic correction similar to the LHC by changing the focussing and defocussing families by the same amount. The second correction, named the “LHeC-like” adds a further constraint to control the Montague functions in the collimation insertions and allows each sextupole family to change by a different amount. And finally, the third one contemplates correcting the second order chromaticity.

DA studies were computed by E. Cruz from the Cockcroft Institute/University of Liverpool for three chromatic correction schemes in SixTrack using a polar grid of initial conditions with 30 particles for each $2\sigma$ interval and 5 different phase angle, over $10^5$ turns [4]. The momentum offset was set to $2.7\times10^4$. Concerning the magnetic errors, 60 different realizations (seeds) were considered for the LHC magnets. Results show a similar behavior at small angles for all three cases. On the other hand it was observed that at bigger angles the second order correction gives a bigger dynamic aperture for angles $\sim75^\circ$, although not that different from the LHeC-like correction, while the LHC-like correction shows a negative impact for angles $>50^\circ$. Frequency map analysis studies were then performed in SUSSIX and applied to calculate the variation in tunes over 5,000 and 10,000 turns for a sample of initial amplitudes via the diffusion factor. Similarities were again found for the LHeC-like and second order correction, except for the stable region observed at $Q_x \sim Q_y$, where the latter case presents better results. Also, for bigger angles ($I_x=0-5$ $\sigma$ and $I_y \sim 20$ $\sigma$) where the second order correction does not show the instability region observed in the LHeC-like case caused by resonance line (-1,4). The same regions are also different for the LHC-Like case. In this scenario the region for larger angles shows a higher instability, but the main difference is observed in the region with $Q_x \sim Q_y$ in which a stable region seen in the other corrections is no longer present, see Fig. 2.

**Beam Dynamics Optimization at SOLEIL**

The purpose of the project of X. Gavalda who is based at the Synchrotron SOLEIL, near Paris in France, is to optimize the linear and non-linear beam dynamics of the light source using Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithms (MOGA) [5] and the tracking code ELEGANT [6]. In general, the optimization of a storage ring lattice is a multi-objective problem that involves a high number of constraints and a multi-dimensional parameter space defined by the optimization variables. The introduction of the sextupole magnets to correct the strong focusing affects two important parameters of the beam dynamics: the dynamic and the momentum aperture. Both parameters are strongly related with the injection efficiency and the Touschek lifetime, respectively. In our case, the optimization objectives are the dynamic aperture and the Touschek lifetime, the variables are the settings of the quadrupole and sextupole magnets.

Genetic Algorithms [7] are a heuristic search that mimics the process of natural selection and generates solutions to optimization problems using techniques inspired by natural evolution, such as mutation, selection and evolution. Starting from an initial stable lattice called starting point (SP), MOGA searches the optimized lattices changing randomly the settings of variables: the focusing strength of the quadrupole and sextupole families. From this initial population, the algorithm chooses the best lattices that will become the parents of the next generation. With this process, the algorithm converges to the group of solutions with the best compromise between all objectives, the so called Pareto front. The process is
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introducing 6D tracking in the optimization process and
changing the energy model of the dipole edge focusing,
respectively. Thereafter, new lists of optimization
solutions have been tested on the control room of
SOLEIL using beam-based experiments to check their
completeness. A complete study of the relation between
the total beam, the Touschek and the gas lifetime was
necessary to process these experimental data. The initial
experimental results do not show an improvement of the
Touschek lifetime provided by the simulations due to the
proximity of the horizontal and vertical tunes to the
integer resonance lines. A further study of the
optimization process is necessary to improve the quality
of MOGA and search the multi-dimensional space in a
more complete way as defined by the quadrupole and
sextupole families of the SOLEIL storage ring. These
new solutions will then be tested experimentally in the
near future.

TRAINING EVENTS

The League of European Research Universities, the UK-
based Russel Group of research-led Universities and other
similar networks recognize that best practice researcher
training involves cohorts of candidates rather than
individuals. The ITN structure is ideal for this and to
achieve the aspirations of the EU Principles for
Innovative Doctoral Training oPAC takes best advantage
of industry participation and by providing regular network
training to bring the Fellows together.

International Schools

All Fellows received a general introduction to the
physics and technology of accelerators either through the
CERN Accelerator School or the Joint Universities
Accelerator School (JUAS) in 2013 or 2014. In addition,
the network organized an Advanced School on
Accelerator Optimization at Royal Holloway University
of London in July 2014. It was attended by more than 70
delegates from within and outside of oPAC. The
participation of external participants ensures knowledge
exchange with a wider community and turned out to be an
ideal opportunity for establishing links to other
researchers working on similar topics. The school covered
beam physics, instrumentation R&D and charged particle
beam simulations at an advanced level [9].

Topical Workshops

oPAC has already organized a series of Topical
Workshops across its work packages over the past 3
years. This includes a workshop on the Grand Challenges
in Accelerator Optimization which took place at CERN,
Geneva in June 2013 [10], a workshop on Beam
Diagnostics hosted by CIVIDEC [11] and one on Libera
Technology at Instrumentation Technologies. Most
recently, a workshop on Computer-Aided Optimisation of
Accelerators (CAoPAC) was held at the GSI Centre for
Heavy Ion Research in Darmstadt, Germany from 10 – 13
March 2015. This was a special event for the network as
it was organized by the Fellows of the network, providing
them with the opportunity to take charge of a whole event
from scratch, with a limited time-frame, limited
resources, and the challenge of offering an interesting
event to attract a good number of participants. The
workshop brought together 51 participants from 18
institutions across Europe. It included talks about optics
and beam dynamics modelling, control systems and data
analysis, techniques for modelling accelerator
components, such as diagnostics, as well as the generation
and propagation of synchrotron light. A poster session
and a visit of the GSI accelerator infrastructure
complemented an exciting event. Two hands-on
workshops have been provided by Bergoz on Beam
Instrumentation and by CST their Particle Studio
simulation suite. Finally, the University of Liverpool will
host a workshop on Technology Transfer later in 2015 in
conjunction with an outreach symposium.

Conference and Symposium

An international conference will be hosted by the
national accelerator center (CNA) in Seville, Spain
between 7-9 October 2015 [12]. It will promote all
research outcomes from the network and enable the
Fellows to engage with other university groups and
private companies. The conference will also present an
opportunity for follow-up activities between the oPAC
partners and participating scientists from outside the
network and thus serve as a career platform for all
Fellows. An outreach Symposium on 26 June 2015 on
Accelerators and Lasers for Science and Society will be
organized at the Liverpool Convention Center as a finale
to the outreach activities undertaken during the course of
oPAC [13]. This will present the main project findings in
an understandable way for the general public emphasizing
the possible applications of the technologies concerned.
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