Abstract

A Proof-of-Principle (PoP) Double Quarter Wave Crab Cavity (DQWCC) was designed and fabricated for the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) luminosity upgrade. A vertical cryogenic test has been done at Brookhaven National Lab (BNL). The cavity achieved 4.5 MV deflecting voltage with a quality factor above $3 \times 10^9$. We report the test results of this design.

INTRODUCTION

The energy-frontier machine LHC at CERN is designed for high-energy particle physics, with energies up to 7 TeV per nucleon. Instead of upgrading the energies, the High Luminosity upgrade of the LHC (HL-LHC) will use the crab-crossing technique [1-4] to modify the angle at which bunches collide, and hence maximize the LHC’s luminosity.

There are three phases for the LHC crab cavity project. The first one aims to validate the cryogenic performance of proof-of-principle (PoP) cavities. They must demonstrate a deflecting voltage of 3.34 MV per cavity. The second phase and the third phase will focus on fully dressed cavities in the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) and in the LHC. Eventually four cavities per beam will be needed at each side of the IP, i.e., 32 cavities in total plus spare ones.

The Quarter wave resonators (QWRs) first was proposed as a deflecting/crabbing cavity by Ben-Zvi [5, 6]. This design eventually evolved into a symmetric double quarter wave structure [1]. There is no lower order mode (LOM) or same order mode (SOM) exists in DQWCC, and its crabbing mode is the lowest resonant frequency, $f_0$. The first higher order mode (HOM) is well separated from the fundamental frequency $f_0$ by about $f_0/2$ due to the large capacitance. We describe the design, fabrication, and our results from testing the PoP DQWCC, built as part of the HL-LHC upgrade in this paper.

CAVITY DESIGN

The DQWCC shown in Fig. 1 can be considered as two quarter-wave resonators sharing a load capacitor. At the fundamental mode, there is a transverse electric field between capacitor’s two plates, offering the crabbing voltage when the beam passes at an appropriate phase. Besides the two beam pipe ports, six extra ports are located on the top and bottom, with two on the top and four on the bottom, as shown in Fig. 1. These ports are designated for a fundamental power coupler (FPC), an RF pickup (PU) probe, and higher order mode couplers.

Table 1 lists the key RF parameters of the DQWCC using CST Microwave Studio® with more than half a million tetrahedral meshes.

Table 1: Key RF Parameters of the Double Quarter Wave Crab Cavity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamental mode frequency $f_0$ [MHz]</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest HOM frequency $f_1$ [MHz]</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection voltage $V_t$ [MV]</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R/Q$ (fundamental mode) [Ω]</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometry factor [Ω]</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak surface electric field $E_{peak}$ [MV/m]</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak surface magnetic field $B_{peak}$ [mT]</td>
<td>83.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual accelerating voltage $V_{acc}$ [kV]</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored energy [J]</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAVITY FABRICATION AND MEASUREMENTS

Fabricating and Processing the Cavity

The cavity body was fabricated by Niowave, Inc., and was chemically treated with a 1:1:2 buffered chemical polish (BCP) solution of HF (49% wt), HNO₃ (69% wt), and H₃PO₄ (85% wt) to etch 150 μm of the inner niobium’s surface. The cavity was inspected, visually, then checked for leaks, and finally baked for 10 hours at 600°C in a vacuum oven at BNL and was then shipped back to Niowave for another light BCP (removing 30 μm material) and a high-pressure rinse (HPR) with de-ionized ultra-pure water. Then, it was shipped to BNL for assembly and cryogenic testing.

After the first cold test, cavity was opened for surface and geometric inspection. Dents, scratches, and stains were found inside the cavity, shown in Fig. 2. We measured the cavity’s thickness using an ultrasonic thickness detector; it was 140 μm thicker than expected, indicating that insufficient material was removed during chemical etching. Using a laser tracker to measure the cavity’s profile revealed that the top and bottom caps, and two capacitive plates are tilted in the same direction with respect to the center beamline. After that, ANL undertook further surface treatment. First, the cavity underwent an ultrasonic cleaning, and was then etched with BCP to remove a further 40 μm layer, shown in Fig. 3(a). After that, the cavity was rinsed with distilled water, and ultrasonically degreased with a 2% Liquinox solvent; another rinsing in a bath of water followed this. Finally, the cavity went through another HPR with deionized water at a pressure of 1200 psi, shown in Fig. 3(b),(c). The cavity then was shipped back to BNL for a second cryogenic test after baking for an additional 24 hours at 120°C with the cavity under vacuum.

Figure 2: (a) Scratches at the entrance of a beam pipe port; (b) Stains and dents on the cavity interior surface.

After the first cold test, cavity was opened for surface and geometric inspection. Dents, scratches, and stains were found inside the cavity, shown in Fig. 2. We measured the cavity’s thickness using an ultrasonic thickness detector; it was 140 μm thicker than expected, indicating that insufficient material was removed during chemical etching. Using a laser tracker to measure the cavity’s profile revealed that the top and bottom caps, and two capacitive plates are tilted in the same direction with respect to the center beamline. After that, ANL undertook further surface treatment. First, the cavity underwent an ultrasonic cleaning, and was then etched with BCP to remove a further 40 μm layer, shown in Fig. 3(a). After that, the cavity was rinsed with distilled water, and ultrasonically degreased with a 2% Liquinox solvent; another rinsing in a bath of water followed this. Finally, the cavity went through another HPR with deionized water at a pressure of 1200 psi, shown in Fig. 3(b),(c). The cavity then was shipped back to BNL for a second cryogenic test after baking for an additional 24 hours at 120°C with the cavity under vacuum.

Figure 3: (a) DQWCC on the BCP equipment at ANL; (b) Setup the HPR at ANL; (c) DQWCC after HPR.

Cryogenic Testing and Analysis

The first cryogenic test was carried out in June, 2013. $Q_0$ was limited to below $3\times10^8$, even at the low field level, and did not improve after cooling from 4.3 K to 1.9 K. Heating was observed on the beam pipe’s flanges in correlation with the decrease in $Q_0$, which indicated the poor quality of the niobium coating. The cavity reached a maximum deflecting voltage of only 1.34 MV, limited by the RF’s power amplifier. No radiation was observed at this field level.

The second cryogenic test was performed in November 2013 after the cavity was treated additionally at ANL as described above. With a 1.9 K bath temperature, as the RF power was increased, the $Q_0$ started to degrade at 2.0 MV, associated with high radiation due to field emission, reaching its peak of 864 mR/h at 3.0 MV, as shown in Fig. 4. The $Q_0$ recovered after about 30 minutes of RF conditioning. The radiation level fell below 15 mR/h. The highest voltage reached during the test was 4.6 MV in the CW mode, limited by quench, also is shown in Fig. 4.
Temperature rise was observed on the flanges of both beam pipes and the pickup port blending area during the test. The cavity was further tested in a pulsed mode to reduce the heating on these flanges. The highest voltage reached was 4.5 MV, limited by quench, consistent with the test results in the CW mode at 4.6 MV. The cavity quenches at a peak magnetic field of about 129.3 mT, and a peak electric field of about 49.4 MV/m.

After the cryogenic test, the cavity was opened for higher order modes room temperature measurements at BNL, and sent to ANL for surface treatments. The cavity was then shipped to CERN for further cryogenic tests, preliminary result was presented at [7].

**CONCLUSIONS**

We proposed using a double quarter wave resonator as a compact crab cavity for the LHC luminosity upgrade. We designed and fabricated a proof-of-principle cavity to validate that a cavity of such a complicated shape can deliver a deflecting voltage over the required 3.34 MV. During BNL’s cryogenic tests, the cavity achieved a deflecting voltage of 4.6 MV, with a quality factor higher than $3.0 \times 10^9$. Possible reasons for the relatively low quality factor are associated with i) insufficient BCP etching, and ii) the poor quality of the niobium coating on the stainless steel flanges of the beam pipes. The cavity was further treated and was sent to CERN for cryogenic tests.

With the proof-of-principle DQWCC exceeding the design voltage by a satisfactory margin, we are proceeding with designing and fabricating two fully dressed prototype cavities. After verifying the cavities’ performance in vertical tests, they will be installed in SPS for testing with the beam as the next step toward implementing the crab-cavity scheme in the HL-LHC.

The DQW design can be applied to other particle accelerators requiring deflecting or crab cavities, in particular to BNL’s future electro-hadron collider, eRHIC [8]. In eRHIC, the 5- to 8-cm long ion bunches will collide with electrons at a crossing angle of 4 mrad. This would require a low crabbing frequency $f_0$ that currently is set at 225 MHz. The DQWCC is well suited for such low frequency application with its reasonable geometrical size [6, 9].
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